

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/762,353	01/23/2004	Marc Huard	0579-1031 4984		
466 Young & Th	7590 05/23/200 HOMPSON	7	EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			HEITBRINK, TIMOTHY W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1722		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		05/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{\ \ }$		
Office Action Summary		10/762,353	HUARD, MARC	1		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tim Heitbrink	1722			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	SS		
WHI - Extended aftended - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does not time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this common NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fo	ebruary 2007.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 18-25 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applica	tion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		,			
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · ·	• •	101(4)		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	• •		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Apprite to the second of t	olication No eceived in this National Sta	ge		
		•				
Attachme	• •					
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/762,353

Art Unit: 1722

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10,13,15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kazmer et al. (US Patent 6,287,107).

Kazmer et al. disclose a needle valve including a body 15, 87 and a needle 45 mounted in the body so that it is mobile between a fully open position and a closure position and a range of intermediate positions (see column 10, lines 17-22). The needle is positioned in an L-shaped passage 19 and has a conical tip 95 having an angle between 30 and 60 degrees. See Figs. 5 and 6 where the tip 95 engages a constriction to stop the flow of material.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazmer et al. as applied to claims 1-10, 13, 15-17 above, and further in view of Bierman et al. (US Patent 7,114,934).

While Kazmer et al. does not disclose coating the surface of the runner passage with Teflon, Bierman et al. teaches Teflon used to prevent a resin from sticking to a surface to be conventional.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,353

Art Unit: 1722

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Teflon to the resin passage 19 of Kazmer et al. to prevent a resin from sticking to the surface as suggested by Bierman.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazmer et al. as applied to claims 1-10,13,15-17 above, and further in view of Fukuhara et al.(US Patent 6328316).

While Kazmer et al. discloses a seal 36 positioned between the body 15 and the passage 19, it is not made from an EPDM elastomer.

Fukuhara et al. disclose such an elastomer to be conventional in the production of O-rings. See column 4, line 6.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the O-ring 36 of Kazmer et al. of an EPDM elastomer as suggested by Fukuhara et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Heitbrink whose telephone number is 571-272-1132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tim Heitbrink
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1722

5-21-07

twh